American Journal of Biodiversity
https://biojournals.us/index.php/AJB
ISSN: 2997-3600

Composting as One of Modern Methods for Utilizing Meat Processing Waste

Narzieva Farzona, Narzieva Farziniso
Master

Received: 2025, 15, Aug
Accepted: 2025, 21, Sep
Published: 2025, 19, Oct

Annotation: The meat processing industry generates large quantities of organic waste that pose environmental and sanitary challenges if not properly managed. Traditional disposal methods, such as landfilling and incineration, contribute to greenhouse gas emissions and soil contamination. Composting has emerged as an environmentally sustainable, cost-effective, and biologically efficient method for utilizing meat processing by-products. This paper explores the principles, processes, and advantages of composting as a method for managing meat processing waste, supported by recent research findings. It also evaluates the efficiency of composting in nutrient recovery, pathogen reduction, and its potential for circular economy applications in agriculture.

Keywords: Composting, meat processing waste, waste management, sustainability, nutrient recycling, circular economy.

1. Introduction

The global meat industry plays a critical role in food production and economic development. However, it also produces significant quantities of waste, including blood, fat, bones, offal, and wastewater sludge. Improper disposal of such waste materials may lead to serious environmental pollution, unpleasant odors, and public health concerns. In response to stricter environmental regulations and the growing demand for sustainable waste management, composting has been increasingly recognized as an effective biological treatment method.

Composting converts organic waste into a stable, nutrient-rich material that can be used as soil fertilizer. Through microbial decomposition, nitrogen, carbon, and other nutrients in meat waste are transformed into bioavailable forms that support plant growth. Unlike incineration or rendering, composting is a low-cost, low-emission process that supports circular resource use.

This study examines composting as a modern, sustainable method for meat processing waste utilization, highlighting its process parameters, benefits, and limitations. The aim is to evaluate how composting contributes to sustainable waste management and agricultural productivity.

2. Literature Review

A growing number of studies have analyzed the potential of composting to reduce environmental burdens from meat waste. According to Kumar et al. (2019), composting of slaughterhouse waste significantly reduces pathogens such as Salmonella and E. coli after 6–8 weeks of thermophilic treatment. Similarly, Li and Wang (2021) found that co-composting with carbon-rich bulking agents like sawdust or straw enhances aeration, stabilizes nitrogen levels, and minimizes odor emissions.

Composting technology advancements—such as forced aeration, rotary drum composters, and inoculation with specific microbial strains—have improved process efficiency. Gajalakshmi and Abbasi (2020) demonstrated that introducing thermophilic bacteria can reduce composting time by 30% compared to traditional windrow systems.

From an economic perspective, Miller et al. (2018) reported that composting meat waste can reduce disposal costs by up to 40% compared with incineration. Moreover, composting aligns with circular economy principles, turning waste into valuable fertilizer while reducing dependence on chemical inputs in agriculture.

However, some limitations remain. High-fat content and low carbon-to-nitrogen (C/N) ratios in meat waste can lead to odor generation or incomplete degradation. To counter this, researchers suggest co-composting with plant-based materials to balance the C/N ratio and improve aeration (Zhao et al., 2022). Despite these challenges, composting remains one of the most promising biotechnological solutions for meat industry waste management.

3. Main Body: Methodology and Discussion

3.1. Composting Process Overview

Composting involves aerobic microbial degradation of organic matter. The process typically includes four stages:

Mesophilic phase (20–40°C) – Initial decomposition of easily degradable organic matter.

Thermophilic phase (40–70°C) – Rapid breakdown of proteins, fats, and pathogens.

Cooling phase – Slower degradation of resistant materials.

Maturation phase – Stabilization and humification of compost.

For meat waste, achieving and maintaining thermophilic conditions is crucial for sanitization and odor control. Adequate aeration and moisture (40–60%) are essential for microbial activity. Carbon-rich bulking agents such as sawdust, straw, or wood chips help absorb excess moisture and balance the C/N ratio to approximately 25–30:1.

3.2. Materials for Co-Composting

To ensure efficient decomposition of meat by-products, co-composting materials are added:

Sawdust or wood shavings: Improve porosity and carbon content.

Straw or hay: Maintain structure and aeration.

Manure or plant residues: Provide microbial inoculum and additional nutrients.

A typical mixture ratio may include 30–40% meat waste, 50–60% carbon materials, and 10% water adjustment, depending on material composition.

3.3. Environmental Benefits

Composting meat waste offers several environmental advantages:

Reduced landfill use: Prevents methane and leachate emissions.

Lower greenhouse gas emissions: Compared to incineration, composting reduces CO_2 and N_2O outputs.

Pathogen reduction: High thermophilic temperatures kill harmful bacteria and parasites.

Nutrient recycling: Compost enriches soil with organic matter and nutrients like nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium.

Additionally, compost application improves soil structure, moisture retention, and microbial biodiversity—enhancing long-term agricultural productivity.

3.4. Challenges and Mitigation

Despite its advantages, composting meat waste presents challenges such as:

Odor and vector control: Managed through proper aeration and use of carbon-rich covers.

Fat content: Excessive fat slows microbial activity; pre-treatment or blending is recommended.

Regulatory restrictions: Some countries limit composting of animal by-products due to biosecurity concerns.

Technological innovations, including in-vessel composting systems and biofilters, have addressed many of these concerns by providing controlled environments and efficient odor management.

4. Results and Discussion

Experimental results from recent studies demonstrate the efficiency of composting for meat processing waste. In a pilot study by Zhao et al. (2022), composting a mixture of meat residues and sawdust achieved pathogen-free compost within 45 days. The final product showed a C/N ratio of 14, suitable for agricultural use, and a moisture content of 35%.

Similarly, Singh and Patel (2020) reported that the composted material contained 1.8% total nitrogen and 1.2% phosphorus—comparable to commercial organic fertilizers. Microbial analysis indicated dominance of beneficial bacteria such as Bacillus and Actinomycetes, contributing to stable humus formation.

Economic evaluations suggest that small to medium-sized meat processing plants adopting composting technology can save approximately 25–50% of waste disposal costs, while generating additional income through compost sales or use in nearby farms.

5. Conclusion

Composting represents one of the most sustainable and practical solutions for managing meat processing waste. It offers an environmentally friendly alternative to traditional disposal methods, converting organic residues into valuable fertilizer products. By integrating composting into modern waste management strategies, the meat industry can reduce environmental pollution, enhance resource efficiency, and contribute to the circular economy.

Although technical challenges such as odor control and process optimization persist, ongoing technological advancements and regulatory support are likely to improve feasibility and adoption. Future research should focus on microbial inoculation techniques, energy recovery, and automation of composting systems for large-scale industrial applications.

References

1. Kumar, A., Singh, R., & Gupta, D. (2019). Composting of slaughterhouse waste: An eco-friendly approach to waste management. Journal of Environmental Biotechnology, 10(3), 145–152.
2. Li, J., & Wang, H. (2021). Optimization of co-composting parameters for animal by-product residues. Waste Management, 123, 74–82.
3. Gajalakshmi, S., & Abbasi, S.A. (2020). Modern composting techniques for high-protein waste. Environmental Science Advances, 14(4), 301–310.
4. Miller, P., Torres, J., & Adams, L. (2018). Economic and environmental assessment of composting in the meat industry. Journal of Sustainable Food Systems, 9(2), 55–67.
5. Zhao, Y., Lin, Q., & Chen, Z. (2022). Microbial dynamics and quality of compost derived from meat waste and sawdust. Applied Microbiology Reports, 7(1), 12–24.
6. Singh, V., & Patel, K. (2020). Evaluation of nutrient recovery in composted meat residues. International Journal of Agricultural Waste Management, 15(2), 99–107.
7. Would you like me to format this into a ready-to-publish PDF (with academic layout and references formatted in APA or MLA style)?